







## RICOMET 2015

# Risk perception, communication and ethics

# of exposures to ionising radiation

15<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2015

### Brdo Castle, Slovenia

### All titles and time schedule are subject of possible modifications!

Sunday June 14, 2015	

09:00 - 19:00	Communication networking day (Exploring Slovenia)	
19:00 - 20:30	Registration in the Congress Center Brdo	
20:00 - 21:00	Welcome reception at the Brdo Castle	

### Monday June 15, 2015 – DAY 1

08:00 - 10:00	Registration		
08:30 - 10:00	WELCOME COFFEE		
09:00 - 09:45	Invited only	Invited only	Invited only:
	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3
	Pre meeting	Pre meeting	Pre meeting
	EAGLE international	EAGLE information	PREPARE WP6.3 members
	journalist meeting	source meeting	PREPARE task group meeting
	Chair: Claire Mays,	Chair: <i>Nadja Železnik,</i>	Chair: Iztok Prezelj,
	SYMLOG, France	REC, Slovenia	UL, Slovenia
10:00 - 11:00	Opening Session + Welcome		
	European Commission Guest		
	Chair of the conference <i>Tanja Perko,</i> SCK•CEN, Belgium		
	Project coordinator (EAGLE)		

	<i>Sisko Salomaa</i> , STUK or <i>Nathalie Impens</i> , SCK CEN in behalf of Jean-Rene Jourdain, IRSN, France
	Project coordinator (OPERRA)
	Wolfgang Raskob, KIT, Germany
	Project coordinator (PREPARE)
11.00 12.15	
11:00-13:15	Plenary: Innovative integrated tools and platforms for radiological emergency preparedness and post-accident response in Europe: Communication
	Chair: <i>Wolfgang Raskob</i> , KIT, Germany
	Expertise networks interactions and information and participation of affected
	populations in nuclear emergency and post-emergency
	Stéphane Baudé, Mutadis, France
	Traditional media in the context of the Fukushima nuclear accident
	Why and how are mass media important in nuclear emergencies: theory and method for analysis
	Tanja Perko , SCK•CEN, Belgium
	Radiological risks in media: understandable and meaningful?
	Yevgeniya Tomkiv, ULS, NorwayBack into the collective memory to communicate about and explain a nuclear accident
	to the public: Issues and recommendations
	Marie Claire Cantone, UM, Italy
	Nuclear emergency management and countermeasures reported in mass media
	Eduardo Gallego, UPM, Spain
	Public communication coordination in the case of Fukushima: challenges and recommendations for a nuclear emergency communication
	<i>Iztok Prezelj,</i> UL, Ljubljana
13:15 – 14:00	LUNCH
14:00 - 15:45	Reflection group discussion :
	Use of traditional media in the context of the Fukushima nuclear accident
	Facilitator: <i>Tanja Perko</i> , SCK• CEN, Belgium Special guests – journalists who reported from and about Fukushima
	Junichi Taki, NIKKEI INC., Japan
	Marco Antonio Del Corona, Corriere della Sera, Italy
	Miguel G. Corral, El Mundo, Spain
	Zorana Bakovic, Delo, RTV, Slovenia, Croatia
	Julia Raabe, Die Presse, Austria
	Reflection from public
15:45 – 16:05	COFFEE BREAK
16:05-17:45	Social media in the context of the Fukushima nuclear accident, challenges and
	opportunities in nuclear emergencies
	Chair: Jaroslav Valuch , Social media consultant, Czech Republic
	The role of social media in informing population after the Fukushima disaster in

	Japan/work on disproving the rumors which appear in social media		
	Ryugo Hayano, The University of Tokyo, Japan		
	Safecast – Tool for public information and e	engagement during and after nuclear	
	emergencies		
	Azby Brown, co-founder and main contributor of the web-site Safecast, Japan		
	Social media engagement framework for public health risk communications		
	Monika Gehner, WHO, Switzerland		
	Q & A discussion		
17:55	Departure of the bus at the conference center to go back to the hotel Creina Kranj		
19.30-22.00	Invited only	19.00 – 22.00	
	EAGLE Advisory Board Meeting with	Organized transport to Ljubljana with free	
	Management committee	time in city center	

	Tuesday have 10, 20	45 0422		
	Tuesday June 16, 20	15 – DAY 2		
08:30 - 09:00	WELCOME COFFEE			
8:30 - 09:00	Debriefings of day 1			
	Invited only	Invited only	Invited only	
	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	
	EAGLE international	EAGLE information source	PREPARE task group	
	journalist meeting	meeting	meeting	
	Chair: Claire Mays,	Chair: <i>Nadja Železnik,</i>	Chair: Iztok Prezelj,	
	SYMLOG, France	REC, Slovenia	UL, Slovenia	
09:00-10:45	Use of social media in the context of the Fukushima nuclear accident			
	Chair: Deborah H. Ougton , ULS, Norway			
	Social media reporting in the Fukushima crisis			
	Jaroslav Valuch, Social media consultant, Czech Republic			
	Tweeting about Fukushima: a content analysis of social media use in Norway and			
	Belgium			
	Yevgeniya Tomkiv , ULS, Norway			
	Reflection on social media role in nuclear and radiological emergencies: Facilitated			
	discussion with audience and special guests by Deborah H. Ougton, ULS, Norway			
	Special guests:			
	Ryugo Hayano, The University of Tokyo, Japan			
	Azby Brown, co-founder and main contributor of the web-site Safecast, Japan			
	Monika Gehner, WHO, Switzerland			
	Genevieve Baumont, IRSN	, France		
10:45 -11:15	Brief oral presentations of posters (5 min each poster)			
	Chair: Ilma Choffel de Witt	te, IRSN, France		

	Catrinel Turcanu, SCK·CEN, Belgium Integration of social sciences and humanities into radiation protection research in the Belgian Nuclear Research Centre
	<i>Vasiliki Tafili,</i> EEAE, Greece Communicating safety culture within the radiation safety regulatory authority
	Andrei Razvan Budu, University "Politehnica of Bucharest", Romania Improvements in public awareness and risk perception in benefit of the Romanian Cernavoda CANDU 6 NPP
	Wioleta Olszewska, Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, Poland Communication and information on ionizing radiation as a tool for social consensus around the construction of new repositories for radioactive waste in Poland
	Sylwester Sommer, Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, Poland RENEB – biodosimetry network – solution to enhance positive radiation perception in the European society
11:15 – 11:30	COFFEE BREAK AND POSTER SESSION
11:30 -12:30	Round table: Dialogues with journalists reporting about ionising radiation issues in
	general (not only emergencies)
	Chair : Claire Mays , SYMLOG, France
	Results of discussions with journalists from Poland, Slovenia, Romania and France reporting about ionizing radiation
	Grazyna Zakrzewska, INCT, Poland
	Irena Daris, ARAO, Slovenia
	Daniela Diaconu, INR, Romania
	Claire Mays, SYMLOG, France
	Reflection from special guests: journalists reporting about ionizing radiation
	Facilitated by <i>Claire Mays</i> , SYMLOG, France
	Julia Raabe, Die Presse, Austria
	Peter Rickwood, Atomic Reporters, Austria
	Zorana Bakovic, DELO, Croatia
	<i>Etienne Collomb,</i> Agence K-minos, France <i>Barbara Vignaux</i> , Cité des sciences et de l'industrie, France
	Marco Antonio Del Corona, Corriere della Sera, Italy
	Yunichi Taki, Nikkei Inc., Japan
	Jacek Zyck, Åšrodowisko, Press, Poland
	Stanislaw Latek, Postepy Techniki Jadrowej, Poland
	Wiktor Niedzicki, Polskie Radio, Poland

	Ghiulfer Predescu, Evenim George Daniel Coman, Ro Renata Dacinger, RTV Slov Sašo Avsec, Mladinska knj Anja Cucek, RTV Slovenija Gregor Pucelj, DELO, Slove Miguel G. Corral, Elmundo	månia TV, Romania venija, Slovenia jiga, Slovenia a, Slovenia enia	
12:30 - 13:30	LUNCH	issuesions (round table	
13:30 - 15:00	Focus /breakout group di Group 1 Quality of information, the role and process of mass media in public information in the context of <u>emergency</u> <u>and post-emergency</u>	Group 2 Quality information, the role and process of mass media in reporting of risks and benefits of ionizing radiation in <u>daily life</u>	Group 3 <b>Public understanding of ionizing</b> <b>information, challenges and</b> <b>solutions</b> Chair: <i>Milena Marega</i> , REC, Slovenia
	Chair: <i>Deborah H.</i> <i>Oughton,</i> ULS, Norway Co-chair: <i>Marco Antonio</i> <i>Del Corona</i> , Corriere della Sera, Italy	Chair: <i>Claire Mays</i> , SYMLOG, France Co-chair: <i>Peter</i> <i>Rickwood</i> , Atomic Reporters, Austria	Co-chair: Gregor Pucelj, DELO, Slovenia Recipients of the information: Informed decision making process related to ionizing radiation Daniela Diaconu, INR, Romania
	Discussion, recommendations and conclusions (Participants of the conference)	Discussion, recommendations and conclusions (Participants of the conference)	Presentation of mental model research in Slovenia, Poland, France and Romania Nadja Železnik, REC, Slovenia Discussion about what are the effects of the mental models for information sources and media: (in Slovenian language with translation)
			Special guests: Marko Polič, UL, Slovenia Andrej Stritar, URSJV, (Nuclear safety authorities), Slovenia Lidija Živčič, FOCUS, NGO, Slovenia Gregor Pucelj, scientific editor DELO, Slovenia
15:00 – 16:00	<b>Plenary feedback</b> Chairs: <i>Iztok Prezelj</i> , UL, S	lovenia and <i>Daniela Diacor</i>	<i>nu,</i> INR, Romania

	Reporting from group 1:	
	Marco Antonio Del Corona, Corriere della Sera, Italy	
	and Deborah H.Oughton, ULS, Norway	
	Reporting from group 2	
	Peter Rickwood, Atomic Reporters, Austria	
	and Claire Mays, SYMLOG, France	
	Reporting from group 3	
	Gregor Pucelj, DELO, Slovenia	
	and <i>Milena Marega</i> , REC, Slovenia	
	Reflections from the audience and summary	
16:00 - 16:30	COFFEE BREAK and POSTER SESSION	
16.30 - 18.00	Round table: Future European research agenda for communication, risk perception	
	and ethics in radiological protection	
	Chair: Sisko Salomaa, STUK, Finland	
	We are decision makers: Our influence on European research agenda: EC calls for	
	research in radiation protection from the field of risk perception, communication and	
	ethics	
	Jean-Rene Jourdain, IRSN, France	
	Overview of collected research topics from the fields of risk communication,	
	perception and ethics for future EU research agenda by OPERRA questionnaire and	
	workshops	
	Tanja Perko , SCK•CEN, Belgium	
	Introduction to the way Strategic Research Agendas are developed and updated in the project CONCERT	
	Sisko Salomaa, STUK, Finland	
	Priority setting and roadmap development in radiation protection research in	
	CONCERT: integration of ethical reflections, social sciences and humanities in the	
	future research programmes	
	Nathalie Impens , SCK•CEN, Belgium	
	Discussion and collecting new ideas from the audience	
18.05	Departure of the bus at the conference center to go back to the hotel Creina Kranj – for	
	those who will not participate in the guided tour in the park	
18:05 - 19:00	Guided tour in the park (For those who decided to do this)	
18:40	Bus will pick you up at the hotel Creina to take you to the conference barbeque	
19:00 - 21:30	Conference barbeque (park BRDO)	
21:45	Departure of the bus at the conference center to go back to the hotel Creina Kranj	

	Wednesday June 17, 2015 – DAY 3			
08:30 – 10.30	3 Parallel Sessions: <b>Research in the field of Risk Perception, Communication and Ethics</b> related to Radiological Protection			
	8:30-10.30	8:30-10.30	8:30-9.30	
	Session 1:	Session 2:	Session 3:	
	Education, training and	Communication about	Communication and risks	
	information on ionizing	nuclear energy	perceptions in radiation	
	radiation		protection in medicine	
	Chair: Pavel Gabriel	Chair: Gaston Meskens,	Chair: Marie Claire Cantone, UM,	
	<i>Lazaro ,</i> UB, Romania	SCK•CEN, Belgium	Italy	
	Educational experiment	Monitoring the	The radiology informed consent	
	with active	complexities: Nuclear	form: recommendations from	
	participation of	power and public	European Society of cardiology	
	teachers and students	opinion	Clara Carpeggiani, Eugenio Picano,	
	in the field of natural	Vilma Luoma-aho,	CNR Institute of clinical Physiology	
	radioactivity and radon	University of Jyväskylä,	Italy	
	exposure	Finland		
	Genevieve Baumont,		Low doses of radiation – hot spot	
	IRSN, France	The ethical issues of	in dose perception and	
		nuclear energy industry	radiological protection	
	What happens when	Hayrettin Kilic,	Sylwester Sommer,	
	citizens start to	Turunch Foundation,	Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and	
	measure radiation in	NGO, USA	Technology, Poland	
	the environment?			
	Valéry Bordois and	Media about Polish		
	Gaël Alkan, Lycée de	nuclear power	9.30 - 10.30	
	Presles, France	programme	Session 4	
		Stanisław Latek,	Communication and stakeholder	
	Satisfaction with the	Nuclear Technology	involvement in waste	
	information about	Institute of Nuclear	management and	
	ionising radiation: a	Chemistry and	decommissioning	
	cross-cultural study in	Technology, Poland	Chair: <i>Marie Pierre Bigot</i> ,	
	Belgium and France	A marian of the Compute	IRSN, France	
	Catrinel Turcanu,	A review of the Generic	Stabahaldan invahuenant and	
	SCK•CEN, Belgium	Design Assessment	Stakeholder involvement and	
		(GDA) dialogue pilot	communication in environmental	
	\A/hat de institutions	(2015) for new nuclear	remediation and	
	What do institutions	build in Anglesey, UK:	decommissioning – a driving force	
	which take advantage	lessons for engagement	for enabling a successful	
	of ionizing radiation	practice, contributing	implementation	
	want to tell the public	to a theory of social	Horst Monken-Fernandes, IAEA,	
	Metka Kralj,	sustainability for local	Austria	
	ARAO, Slovenia	communities	Querright of a doop gool asian!	
	1	John Whitton,	Oversight of a deep geological	

	Integrated approach of communication by a radiation safety regulatory authority Vasiliki Tafili, EEAE, Greece Risk communication in nuclear sector – where are the limits of access to information Borut Stražišar, ARAO, Slovenia		UK ar materials a in Izmir, z, nir EGE-CEP . Turkey ion: ly sound or unsafe? background rview of the nuclear	repository: demands and expectations from local communities Meritxell Martell, Spain and Claudio Pescatore, NEA, France Interdisciplinary perspectives on dose limits in radioactive waste management: a research paper developed within the ENTRIA project Klaus Jürgen Röhlig, Institut für Endlagerforschung TU Clausthal, Germany
10:30 - 10:50	COFFEE BREAK AND POSTER SESSION			
10:50 - 12:30	2 Parallel Sessions: <i>Research in the field of related to Radiological Protection</i>		d of Risk Perc	eption, Communication and Ethics
	10:50 – 12:30 Session 5 Communication in nuclea emergency Chair: <i>Catrinel Turcanu</i> , So Belgium			<b>of ionizing radiation risks</b> ana Zakrzewska, INCT, Poland
	<b>Communicating about ris</b> <b>a nuclear incident</b> <i>Robin Goodwin, University</i> <i>Warwick, UK</i>	-	about skew	r engineer should not complain ed risk perception Iožef Stefan Institute, Slovenia
	Dealing with uncertainty: citizens in emergency pla nuclear municipality <i>Marlies Verhaegen</i> , UAnt Belgium Right to accurate informa	nning in a	perception, post-Fukush Pavel Gabrie Towards im safety	iation: analysis of public education and information in the nima context el Lazaro, UB, Romania proved public perception of nuclear engthened role of research and

	nuclear events – do we need a new	higher education	
	codex?	Leon Cizelj, Jožef Stefan Institute, Slovenia	
	Borut Stražišar, ARAO, Slovenia	Communication of rick and public percention	
	An content analysis of experts'	Communication of risk and public perception during Fukushima crisis in a European non-	
	quotes in the newspaper articles on	nuclear country: experts, non-experts and	
	the seafood contaminated with	media	
	Fukushima radiation	Isabel Paiva, IST/CTN, Portugal	
	Chae-Won Lee, Korea Institute of		
	Radiological and Medical Sciences,	Myths and reality about risks related to	
	Republic of Korea	radiation exposure	
		subtitle: a practical approach to science-based	
	EP&R provision in Europe: findings	communication about ionising radiation	
	and recommendations of Nuclear	without reinforcing the radiation myths	
	Transparency Watch	<i>Tomaž Žagar,</i> ARAO, Slovenia	
	Nadja Železnik, REC, Slovenia		
42.20 42.20			
12:30 - 13:30	LUNCH		
13:30 - 15:30	Workshop: The meaning of ethics for r	radiological protection research and research	
	policy		
		niversity of Ghent, Belgium; Friedo Zölzer,	
	University of South Bohemia in České B	udějovice, Czech Republic	
	Speakers:		
	Gaston Meskens, SCK•CEN & Centre for Ethics and Value Inquiry, University of Ghent, Belgium		
		meritus) of Medical Physics, Trinity College,	
	Ireland	Philosophy of Science, University of Ghent,	
	Belgium	miosophy of science, oniversity of Ghent,	
	Deigium		
	Followed by a discussion with the audie	ence	
	,		
	Discussion with participants		
15 :30 -16 :45	Closing plenary	N. Dalainen Malfanna Daalah KIT Camaani	
	Chairs: EC officer; Tanja Perko, SCK•CEI	N, Belgium; Wolfgang Raskob, KIT, Germany	
	Summary and conclusions of RICOMET	by all chairs (5 minutes each)	
	• What have we learnt from the	process over these three days?	
	• What do we think are the key of		
	What do we think are the key r	-	
	Closing		
16:45 – 17:00			
10.43 - 17.00			



	Thursday June 18, 2015
	Project meetings
8.30 - 13.00	EAGLE consortium meeting
9.00 - 17.00	PREPARE task 6.3 meeting

	Friday June 19, 2015
	Project meetings
9.00 - 13.00	PREPARE task 6.3 meeting (to be decided)